

Shailaja

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE SIDE JURISDICTION
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.161 OF 2018
IN
CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION NO.453 OF 2017**

Pradip Ambalal Patel & Anr.	]	Applicants
Vs.		
NESCO LIMITED	]	Respondent

.....

Ms. Prachi Tatake i/b P.N. Shah, for Applicant.

Mr. S. Purohit a/w Ms. Leena J. Shah, M/S. Shah & Furia Associates, for Respondent.

.....

CORAM : R.G. KETKAR, J.

DATE : 3RD MAY, 2018.

P.C.

Heard Ms. Tatake, learned Counsel for applicants and Mr. Purohit, learned Counsel for the respondent.

2. Ms. Tatake seeks permission to withdraw Civil Application with liberty to file appropriate proceedings before the appropriate forum.

3. In view thereof, on the motion made by Ms. Tatake, Civil Application is allowed to be withdrawn with liberty as prayed for. It is made clear that I have not examined merits of the case and the concerned Forum will decide the proceedings un-influenced by the pendency of the Civil Revision Application. Pendency of the Civil Revision Application will not preclude the concerned forum from proceeding with the matter.

4. All contentions of the parties on merits are expressly kept open. Order accordingly.

[R.G. KETKAR, J.]