

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.146 OF 2015

IN

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.86 OF 2014

LAXMIKANT GANPAT PATIL

)...INTERVENOR

IN THE MATTER BETWEEN

BHAGWAT CHANDAR SHINDE AND ORS.)...APPLICANTS

V/s.

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR.

)...RESPONDENTS

Mr.Rahul More, Advocate for the Applicants.

Mrs.Anamika Malhotra, APP for the Respondent - State.

CORAM : A. M. BADAR, J.

DATE : 3rd JULY 2018

P.C. :

1 This is an application claiming two reliefs – one is for intervention in the criminal appeal, whereas the another relief is for return of valuable muddemal property.

2 The learned counsel appearing for the applicants pointed out that prior to trial, accused nos.1 and 2 had given no objection for return of the property to the present applicants. After disposal of the trial, the learned trial court has also directed for return of the property to the present applicants, and therefore, the relief prayed be granted in favour of the applicants.

3 I have also heard the learned the learned Additional Public Prosecutor.

4 In all three accused persons are convicted in the subject crime and the appeal before this court is challenging the conviction as well as the resultant sentence. In this view of the matter, the property cannot be disposed of without disposal of the appeal. So far as prayer for intervention is concerned, the applicant is permitted to assist the prosecution.

With this direction, the application stands disposed of.

(A. M. BADAR, J.)