

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION  
WRIT PETITION NO. 9832 OF 2018

Smt. Nilambari N. Kulkarni ... Petitioner.  
V/s.  
State of Maharashtra and ors. ... Respondents.

Mr. B.R. Deshmukh for the Petitioner.  
Mr. Y.S. Khochare, AGP for Respondent Nos.1 to 4.

**CORAM : A.S. OKA AND M.S. SONAK, JJ.**  
**DATE : 3<sup>rd</sup> SEPTEMBER 2018.**

P.C.:

- 1] Not on board. Taken on board.
- 2] The prayer (a) is the only substantive prayer of this petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India which reads thus:

*“(a) Be pleased to issue the Writ of mandamus, the writ in nature of mandamus, any other appropriate writ, order, directions calling for the record and proceedings of the Revision Application filed by the Petitioner before Respondent No.1 on 27<sup>th</sup> October, 2017 and after going through same be pleased to direct the Respondent No.1 to decide the Revision Application dated 27<sup>th</sup> October, 2017 within a period of 4 weeks from the date of the Order of this Hon'ble Court or this Hon'ble Court may be fit and proper in view of the urgency and circumstances mentioned herein above.”*

- 3] The contention of the petitioner is that out of turn priority should be given by the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent to the hearing the revision application of the year 2017. If that be so, it is for the petitioner to make necessary application before the revisional authority. If such application is made, the

Dinesh  
Sadanand  
Sherla

Digitally signed  
by Dinesh  
Sadanand Sherla  
Date: 2018.09.05  
11:45:54 +0530

revisional authority shall decide the same as expeditiously as possible and in accordance with law.

4] With aforesaid directions, this petition is disposed of.

(M. S. SONAK, J.)

(A.S.OKA, J.)