

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 256 OF 2018
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 11495 OF 2017

Suresh Shalik Mhatre	
Proprietor M/s. Sunrise Enterprises	Intervenor
In the matter of	
Surendra Ramdhani Sharma	Petitioner
versus	
The Chief Executive Officer (SRA) and ors.	Respondent

Mr. Akash D. Warang, advocate for the intervenor.
Mr. Praveen Haldar i/b. Mr. Omprakash Singh, advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. S. B. Kalel, AGP for the State.

**CORAM : RANJIT MORE &
SMT.SADHANA JADHAV, JJ.**

DATE : 10th APRIL, 2018.

P. C. :

The petition pertains to proceedings under Section 35 of the Slum Act, 1971, pending before the appellate authority. The present applicant is a Developer and, therefore, made party respondent in the said appeal. The applicant is bound to be affected by the outcome of the petition. He is, therefore, necessary party to the petition. The civil application is allowed in terms of prayer clause (B). The learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to implead the applicant as party respondent in the petition. The civil application stands disposed of.

2. So far as the relief claimed in prayer clause (C) is concerned, the applicant is at liberty to file a reply to the petition.

(SMT. SADHANA JADHAV, J.)

[RANJIT MORE, J.]