

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL W.P.NO. 206 OF 2018**

C-49, Mehaboob Sahebjade
Pirjade, Kolhapur Central Prison,
Kalamba, Kolhapur .. Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra &Ors. .. Respondents

....

Ms. Rohini M. Dandekar Advocate appointed for Petitioner
Mrs. G.P.Mulekar APP for State

....

**CORAM : SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI ACTING C.J.
AND M.S.SONAK, J.**

DATED : AUGUST 03, 2018

ORAL JUDGMENT [PER SMT. V.K.TAHILRAMANI, ACJ.]:

- 1 Heard both sides.
- 2 The petitioner is seeking extension of parole.
- 3 The petitioner was released on parole on
16.8.2006 for a period of 30 days. The said period was
extended by another 30 days and thereafter by a further
period of 30 days i.e. parole was extended till 14.11.2006.
Thus, the petitioner had to surrender on 15.11.2006,
however, the petitioner surrendered on 16.11.2006 i.e.
after delay of one day and the petitioner is seeking ex-

Digitally
signed by
Jayant
Digambarrao
Kandarkar
Date:
2018.08.07
12:28:24
+0530

Jayant
Digambarrao
Kandarkar

post facto extension of parole period by a period of one day.

4 The Rules as they stood then, contemplated the maximum period of 90 days only i.e. including the first period of parole and the extended period of parole. There was no provision in the Rules to extend the parole period beyond the period of 90 days. Today, as the position stands, parole can be granted for 45 days with extension of 15 days which can be granted only once in three years. Thus, there is no provision to extend the parole period of 90 days. No case is made out for interference, hence, Rule is discharged. Petition is dismissed.

5 Office to communicate this order to the petitioner who is in Kolhapur Central Prison, Kalamba, Kolhapur.

M.S.SONAK, J.

ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE