

vai

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.2271 OF 2016

Navinchandra V. Ghatwal	...Petitioner
V/s.	
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	...Respondents

Mr.Shyam Solanke i/b Mr.Manoj Kadam for the Petitioner.

Mr.S.H. Kankal, A.G.P. for the State – Respondent Nos.1 to 5.

Mr.Prasad Gaonkar for the Respondent No.6.

CORAM : R.D. DHANUKA, J.

DATE : 24TH JULY, 2018.

P.C. :-

1. Learned counsel appearing for the respondent no.6 states that the impugned order is already implemented and in support of this statement, he tenders a copy of the letter dated 1st February, 2018 from the City Survey officer to the respondent no.6. The statement made by the learned counsel for the respondent no.6 is accepted. Along with the said letter, the authority has also annexed the plan showing demarcation of the plot which is already carried out.
2. The petition has become infructuous and is accordingly disposed of as infructuous. There shall be no order as to costs.

(R.D. DHANUKA, J.)