

Nalawade

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.43 OF 2018
Niraj Mansukhlal Ved vs. The State of Maharashtra

WITH

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 51 OF 2018

WITH

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.84 OF 2018

WITH

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 148 OF 2018

WITH

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.185 OF 2018

WITH

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.186 OF 2018

WITH

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 64 OF 2018

Swagat M. Jagirdar vs. State of Maharashtra

Office Notes, Office Memorandum of Coram, appearances, Court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Court's or Judge's orders
--	---------------------------

Mr. Bhavesh Thakur i/by B.J. Joshi for the Applicant in ABA
No.143/2018.

Ms. Pooja Shejpal i/by Nitin Shejpal for the Applicant in
Application No.51/2018.

Mr. Piyush Toshniwal i/by Aashish Satpute for the applicant
in ABA NO.64/2018.

Mr. M.G. Shukla for the intervener in Application
No.84/2018.

CORAM : A.S.GADKARI, J.

DATE : 03rd July, 2018

P.C.

1. By an Order dated 10.1.2018 the applicant in ABA

No.43/2018 and by an order dated 15.1.2018 the applicant in ABA No.64/2018 were granted interim relief by this Court. The record indicates that in furtherance of the Order dated 25.1.2018 the applicant Niraj Ved has deposited the alleged amount of Rs.3,26,00,000/- in the registry of this Court. The applicant Sagar Jagirdar is an employee of Mr. Niraj Ved and it is the prosecution case that, a power of attorney was given to him by Niraj Ved for registration of the certain documents. As far as the allegation of accepting money is concerned, the same revolves around the applicant Niraj Ved. As noted earlier, in pursuance of Order dated 25.1.2018 Niraj Ved has deposited the said amount of Rs.3,26,00,000/- in the registry of this Court.

2. In view of the above, the interim relief granted to the applicants namely Niraj Ved and Swagat Jagirdar by Orders dated 10.1.2018 and 15.1.2018 are hereby confirmed. However, the condition to attend the Investigating Officer is waived.

3. The anticipatory bail applications are allowed in the aforesaid terms.

3. In view of the order passed in ABA No.43/2018 and ABA No. 64/2018, the aforestated intervention applications do not survive and are accordingly disposed off.
4. The interveners are granted liberty to have amicable settlement with the applicant Shri. Niraj Ved and allowing the application of the applicant-Niraj Ved for pre-arrest bail shall not preclude the interveners for having an amicable settlement with him in the matter.
5. Applications are disposed off in the aforesaid terms.

(A.S.GADKARI, J.)