

SAS

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO.170 OF 2002

Bapuji Govind Gorhekar	..Applicant.
V/s.	
The State of Maharashtra & Anr.	..Respondents.

Mr.B.G. Tangsale for the applicant.

Mrs.S.S.Kaushik, APP for the respondent-State.

Mr.Prabha Baadare for respondent No.2.

CORAM: NITIN W.SAMBRE, J.

DATE : MARCH 23, 2018

PC.:-

The parties are personally present in Court and identified by their respective counsel. The complainant Minakshi has tendered an affidavit stating therein that the matrimonial discord between herself and the applicant-accused has come to an end. In view thereof, the Criminal Revision Application No.170 of 2017 is required allowed.

2. The affidavit tendered by respondent No.2 dated March 23, 2018 is taken on record.

3. The applicant-accused was convicted for offence punishable under section 498A of the Indian Penal Code the learned Magistrate in R.C.C. No. 115/1996 vide order April, 28, 2000 which was confirmed in Criminal Appeal No.31/2000 vide order dated March 22, 2002. The applicant-accused was convicted and was sentenced to suffer R.I. for a period of 6 months and with fine.

4. Both the parties i.e. the complainant and the applicant-accused are present in Court. It is informed by the parties that they are residing together for last about more than a year and they want to end the dispute by compounding the matter.

5. In view of the statement of the complainant and the affidavit filed to that effect on record, it will be appropriate, in my opinion, to order compounding of the offence particularly having regard to the social impact of it on their marital life. In view

thereof, the present revision is disposed of in terms of prayer clause

“(b) This Hon'ble Court may be pleased to compound the offence in C.R. No.80/96 wherein the Revision Applicant was convicted, as the matter is settled between the parties amicably and may further be pleased to acquit the applicant / accused”

thereby ordering compounding of the offence wherein the applicant-accused was convicted.

(NITIN W.SAMBRE, J.)