

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO.909 OF 2018

Manoj Karansingh Padvi

...Petitioner

vs.

The Commissioner of Education & Ors.

...Respondents

Ms. Vaishali Jagdale for the Petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Babar AGP for the Respondent No.1 and 2.

Mr. Balasaheb R. Deshmukh for the Respondent No.5.

CORAM : R.M. BORDE &

V. M. DESHPANDE, JJ.

DATE : 1st AUGUST, 2018.

P.C.:

. Petitioner is making grievance about the oral termination effected by the management The petitioner is covered by the provisions of the Maharashtra Employees of Private Schools (Conditions of Service) Regulation Act, 1977. In view of the provisions of section 9 of the aforesaid Act, the petitioner has alternate remedy available for grievance redressal. In view of above, keeping option open to the petitioner to avail of alternate remedy available in law, writ petition is disposed of. All contentions raised by the Petitioner in the instant petition are specifically kept open for consideration by the Appellate Forum.

(V. M. DESHPANDE, J)

(R.M. BORDE, J)

