

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.935 OF 2016

Ravindra Dadasaheb Patil & Ors.

... Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Anr.

... Respondents

.....

- Ms. Rati S. Sinhasane i/b Mr. U. R. Mankaure for Applicants.
- Dr. F. R. Shaikh, APP for State - Respondent No.1.
- Ms. Akansha Halaskaar i/b Mr. A. M. Kulkarni for Respondent No.2.

**CORAM : B. P. DHARMADHIKARI &
SARANG V. KOTWAL, JJ.**

DATE : 21st DECEMBER, 2018.

P.C. :

1. Heard respective Counsel.
2. Respondent No.2 has given an affidavit stating that he does not wish to prosecute the matter.
3. Offences are grave. The Respondent No.2 has given

names of other persons from whom amount has been allegedly extorted by Petitioners. He has mentioned that from each worker amount has been collected and total amount recovered is between 70-80 lakhs.

4. Offences registered are u/s 384, 386 r/w 34 of the Indian Penal Code.
5. We are not willing to intervene in extra ordinary jurisdiction.
6. Application is accordingly rejected.

(SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.)

(B. P. DHARMADHIKARI, J.)