

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.95 OF 2017

The State of Maharashtra.] ... Applicant

Versus

Suresh Malkappa Parshetti & Ors.] ... Respondents

Smt. A. S. Pai, APP for State - Applicant.

CORAM :- B. P. DHARMADHIKARI &
SARANG V. KOTWAL, JJ.
DATE :- NOVEMBER 24, 2018

P. C. :-

1. Heard learned APP for State - Applicant.
2. Perused the impugned Judgment dated 20/04/2017 delivered by the learned Additional Sessions Judge - 4, Solapur.
3. Considerations in paragraph 16 show that the learned Additional Sessions Judge has given valid reasons for doubting the version of the prosecution. Finding in paragraph 14 that the

deposition of PW 4 Vijaykumar Parshetty and PW 5 Mahadevi Dhanure cannot be termed as deposition of independent and impartial witness, also does not appear to be perverse. A probable view, therefore, has been reached.

4. No case has been made out. Application rejected.

(SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.)

(B. P. DHARMADHIKARI, J.)