

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.158 OF 2016

LAXMAN LADU MULIK

)...APPLICANT

V/s.

RAMCHANDRA SAHADEV MULIK AND ORS.)...RESPONDENTS

Mr.Indrashyadri Redkar, Advocate for the Applicant.

Mr.A.R.Kapadnis, APP for the Respondent - State.

CORAM : A. M. BADAR, J.

DATE : 8th JUNE 2018

P.C. :

1 Heard the learned Advocate appearing for the applicant/First Informant. Perused the impugned judgment as well as evidence of PW4 Sabaji Mullik. He is an eye witness to the incident in question and has deposed about destruction of the plate and applying white colour to the board of the temple. Prima facie, it appears that the impugned judgment and order of acquittal is perverse.

2 None appeared for the respondent no.1.

3 Hence the order :

ORDER

- i) Leave, as prayed, is granted.
- ii) Admit.
- iii) Issue notice to respondents.
- iv) Learned APP waives notice for respondent no.4/State.
- v) Call for Record and Proceedings.
- vi) In the meanwhile, action under Section 390 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, before the learned trial court.

(A. M. BADAR, J.)