

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.331 OF 2018

IN

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.40 OF 2018

Shivaji Shankarrao Patankar ... **Applicant/Intervenor**

In the Matter in Between :

Asif Kalim Khan ... **Appellant**

V/s.

The State of Maharashtra ... **Respondent**

.....

Mr.Vikrant B. Shinde i/b. Mr.Ganesh M. Mohite, Advocate for the Applicant.

Mr.Mohan N. Devkule h/f. Mr.Rakesh Bhatkar, Advocate for the Appellant in APEAL/40/2018.

Ms.V.S.Mhaispurkar, APP for the Respondent/State.

....

CORAM : A.M.BADAR J.

DATED : 29th JUNE 2018.

P.C. :

1 Heard the learned Advocate appearing for the applicant. He argued that the applicant is the father of the deceased and, therefore, he wants to intervene in the Criminal Appeal and, therefore, he may be added as respondent No.2 in the appeal.

2 The learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the application by contending that the applicant can at the most pray for assisting the prosecution and he cannot be directed to be made as party respondent in the appeal challenging conviction recorded in the said case.

3 Considering the fact that the applicant is father of the victim, the application is disposed of with permission to the applicant to assist the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing in the matter.

4 The application is disposed of accordingly.

(A.M.BADAR J.)