

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL APPLICATION (APL) NO.917 OF 2016

WITH

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.1023 OF 2017

Naresh Pandurang Patil and Ors. ...Petitioners

vs.

State of Maharashtra and Anr. ...Respondents

Mr.Satyajeet A. Rajeshirke for the Petitioners.

Smt. A. S. Pai, APP for the Respondent.

Mr.Prabhakar M. Jadhav for the Respondent No.2.

**CORAM : B. P. DHARMADHIKARI &
SARANG V. KOTWAL, JJ.**

DATE : 30/11/2018.

P.C.:

. Consent Terms between the parties are recorded. Respective counsel state that those terms are already implemented. Separate affidavits are filed by the husband and the complainant wife to that effect.

2. Parties state that the complainant has thereafter remarried and is having a male child.

3. They therefore request to quash the FIR and make rule absolute in terms of prayer clause (a) without insisting upon appearance of the parties.

4. The petitioner is present and respondent No.2 complainant is appearing through her advocate.

5. In view of these developments, we accept the joint request. We make Rule absolute in terms of prayer clause (a). Pending applications, if any are disposed of.

(SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.)

(B. P. DHARMADHIKARI, J.)