

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

WRIT PETITION NO. 1180 OF 2018

NARHARI PARAB.,

... Petitioner

Versus

**PREMILA SANKOLKAR ALIAS SANJANA
KUDAV AND 3 ORS.,**

... Respondents

Shri Shirin V. Naik, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Coram:- C. V. BHADANG, J.

Date:- 12th December 2018

P.C.:

On hearing the learned Counsel for the petitioner, I do not find that any case for interference is made out. The petitioner is yet to open his evidence and it would be open to the petitioner to lead his own evidence and to produce the documents, as he may decide in support of his defence. The learned Tribunal, in my considered view, is right in holding that if at all, according to the petitioner the statement of DW-1 in his cross examination is incorrect, it is for the petitioner to satisfy the Tribunal. In that view of the matter, the petition is disposed of.

C. V. BHADANG, J.

EV