

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

CONTEMPT PETITION NO.41 OF 2016

IN

WRIT PETITION NO.481 OF 2013

Dr. K. M. Sadre ... Petitioner

Versus

Vedprakash & Ors. Respondents

WITH

CONTEMPT PETITION NO.3 OF 2017

IN

WRIT PETITION NO.104 OF 2014

Dr. Anand B. Patil Petitioner

Versus

Ved Prakash & Ors. Respondents

WITH

CONTEMPT PETITION NO.42 OF 2016

IN

WRIT PETITION NO.105 OF 2014

Dr. A. R. Padoshi ... Petitioner

Versus

Ved Prakash & Ors. ... Respondents

Mr. J. Supekar and Mr. S. Sayed, Advocates for the Petitioners.

Mr. Sagar Dhargalkar, Additional Government Advocate for
Respondent No.3.

***Coram : N.M. Jamdar &
Prithviraj K. Chavan, JJ.***

Date : 15 October 2018.

P.C. :

These three Contempt Petitions were filed by the Petitioners for not paying their dues by the Respondent-Authority. When the petitions came on board it was pointed out that the order is substantially complied with however there are certain errors in the calculations. By consent, the matters were placed before the Registrar (Judicial). The learned counsel for the Petitioners submits that the issue has been resolved between the parties and the Petitioners are satisfied with the calculations. No notice for initiating contempt action so far issued against the Respondents.

2. In these circumstances, it is not necessary to proceed further with these Contempt Petitions. The Contempt Petitions are accordingly disposed of.

Prithviraj K. Chavan, J.

N.M. Jamdar, J.