

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

WRIT PETITION NO. 1093 OF 2017

J. MARY ANACLETA TELLES @ ANACLETA
TELLES, REP. BY POA, BOSCO
ANACLETA TELLES AND 3 ORS.,

... Petitioners

Versus

JOSE FERNANDES @ JOSE AGOSTIMHO
FERNANDES (DEC) THR. LRS. AND 2
ORS.,

... Respondents

Shri Kaif Noorani, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Vinita Palyekar, Advocate for the respondent Nos.3(A1),
3A3, 3A4, 3A6, 3B1, 3B2(b), 3B2(c), 3B3 to 3B6, 3B9 to 3B14,
and 3C1 to 3C6.

Shri M. Fernandes, Advocate for the Respondent Nos. 3A7(a),
3A7(b) and 3A7(c)

Coram:- NUTAN D. SARDESSAI, J.

Date:- 28th June 2018

P.C.:

Heard Shri Kaif Noorani, learned Advocate for the petitioners, Ms. Vinita Palyekar, learned Advocate for the respondent Nos.3(A1), 3A3, 3A4, 3A6, 3B1, 3B2(b), 3B2(c), 3B3 to 3B6, 3B9 to 3B14, and 3C1 to 3C6 and Shri M. Fernandes, learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos. 3A7(a), 3A7(b) and 3A7(c)

2. Both the learned Advocates seriously do not oppose the petition and concede that the impugned order needs to be set

aside.

3. Having heard the learned Advocate for sometime the impugned order cannot be sustained and therefore the Writ Petition is allowed. The impugned order is quashed and set aside and the delay in filing the revision application is condoned. The parties are permitted to appear before the learned Administrative Tribunal on 23/07/2018 at 10.00 hours.

4. The petition stands disposed off accordingly.

NUTAN D. SARDESSAI, J.

mv