

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA***WRIT PETITION NO. 1013 OF 2017***

Mandar Madhavrao Sardessai &

4 Ors.

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Goa,

Thr. The Chief Secretary & anr.

.... Respondents

Mr. S.D. Lotlikar, Senior Advocate with Adv. Padgaonkar Chaitanya
Prakash for the Petitioners.

Mr. D. Lawande, Advocate General with Mr. P. Dangui, Government
Advocate for the Respondents.

***Coram : N.M. Jamdar &
Prithviraj K. Chavan, JJ.***

Date : 3rd May 2018.

P.C.:

The petition raises various disputed questions of facts. However, it is not necessary to go further on merits as the learned Advocate General states that the State will not carry out any work of civil nature outside the area that vests in the State in respect of the controversy in question. In view of this categorical statement made by the learned

Advocate General, it is clear that the interest of the petitioner, if any, in the land, is protected. If this assurance is breached by the State, the petitioner has his remedy open in law.

2. The writ petition is accordingly disposed off.

Prithviraj K. Chavan, J.

N.M. Jamdar, J.