

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

WRIT PETITION NO. 1112 OF 2016

FRANCIS CARDOZO AND ANR., ... Petitioners

Versus

**STATE OF GOA, THR. CHIEF SECRETARY
AND 5 ORS.,** ... Respondents

Mr. Galileo Francisco Teles, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Purna Bhandari, Additional Government Advocate for
respondent Nos.1,4 and 5.

Mr. Pavithran A.V., Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

Coram:- SHANTANU S. KEMKAR &
NUTAN D. SARDESSAI, JJ.

Date:- 5th February 2018

P.C.:

We find that the main relief prayed in the petition at prayer
clause (a) and (b) are not surviving.

2. In the circumstances, the petition is rendered infructuous in
regard to prayer clause (a) and (b).

3. So far as prayer clause (c) is concerned by which the
directions are sought against the State Government to frame a
policy for collection of market fees by Village Panchayat within
their jurisdiction and direction to respondent No.4 to monitor all
such decision by the respective Village Panchayat vis-a-vis
collection of market fees within their respective jurisdiction, we
grant liberty to the petitioner to submit a detailed representation

before respondent Nos.4 and 5. The respondent Nos.4 and 5 on receipt of the said representation shall consider the same and take appropriate decision on it in accordance with law.

4. With the aforesaid liberty and directions the petition stands disposed of.

NUTAN D. SARDESSAI, J.

SHANTANU S. KEMKAR, J.

mv