

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

WRIT PETITION NO. 816 OF 2018

SHRIKRISHANA N. TALAK.,

... Petitioner

Versus

THE CHAIRMAN, SOUTH GOA PLANNING
AND DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY,
MARGAO.,

... Respondent

Shri Shailesh Redkar, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Shri Sushant Korgaonkar, Advocate for the Respondent No. 1.

Shri Ajit Kantak, Advocate for the Intervenor.

Coram:- C. V. BHADANG, J.

Date:- 14th December 2018

P.C.

The challenge in this petition is to the order of demolition of a shed, constructed by the petitioner on the terrace of the building, allegedly in breach of the permission of the Planning Authority.

2. Shri Redkar, the learned Counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner is desirous of filing an application for regularisation as admittedly, no prior permission was obtained from the Panchayat.

3. In that view of the matter, the challenge to the impugned order does not survive. The petition is accordingly disposed of. If the application for regularisation is filed, the competent

authority shall decide the same on its own merits and in accordance with law.

C. V. BHADANG, J.

EV