

Santosh

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

WRIT PETITION NO.790 OF 2018

Mhablu M. Mhalsekar. Petitioner.

Versus

Commissioner, The Corporation of
City of Panaji. Respondents.

Mr. Mahesh Amonkar, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr. A. D. Bhobe, Advocate for the Respondent.

***Coram : N.M. Jamdar &
Prithviraj K. Chavan, JJ.***

Date : 23 October 2018.

P.C.:

The learned Counsel for the Petitioner makes a limited prayer that the representation of the Petitioner be decided by the Corporation. The learned Counsel for the Corporation has placed on record an affidavit sworn by the Commissioner and has stated that within a time-bound schedule the representation will be decided.

2. Considering the facts and circumstances, the representation of the Petitioner be decided within a period of four weeks. We make it clear that this direction for disposal of the representation does not mean that we have permitted the

Corporation to override any provision of law, neither we have commented upon the rights of the parties who are not before us and the representation will be decided strictly within the ambit of the provisions of the enactment.

3. The Writ Petition is accordingly disposed of.

Prithviraj K. Chavan, J.

N.M. Jamdar, J.