

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

MISC.CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 499 OF 2018

IN

SECOND APPEAL NO. 120 OF 2017

ANKUSH RAJARAM NAIK (DEC), THR.
LRS., REP BY HIS DAUGHTER, SAYLI
SUBHASH PARAB.,

... Applicant

Versus

ANA MARIA JOSEFA AGUIAR D'SOUZA
AND 15 ORS.,

... Respondents

Shri P. Phadte h/f. Shri Sandesh D. Padiyar, Advocate for the
applicant.
Shri R. G. Ramani, Advocate for the respondent Nos.1 to 10.

Coram:- NUTAN D. SARDESSAI, J.

Date:- 6th September 2018

P.C.:

Heard Shri P. Phadte, learned Advocate for the applicant.

2. He submits that the application for deleting the respondent No.11 was allowed by the First Appellate Court by its order dated 07/07/2011 but the error continued in the Appellate Court's judgment to reflect the name of the respondent No.11.

3. Shri R.G. Ramani, learned Advocate has no objection.

4. Considering the order passed by the First Appellate Court the application for deleting the respondent No.11 from the cause title and consequently dispensing with the service is allowed.

5. The application stands disposed off.

NUTAN D. SARDESSAI, J.

mv