

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

WRIT PETITION NO. 616 OF 2018

PRAMOD D. PARULEKAR.,

... Petitioner

Versus

THE ADMINISTRATOR OF COMUNIDADES,
NORTH ZONE, MAPUSA.,

... Respondent

Adv. Abhijeet Kamat for the petitioner.
Adv. Susan Linhares For R.no.1 & 2

Coram:- SHANTANU S. KEMKAR &
C. V. BHADANG, JJ.

Date:- 13th August 2018

P.C.

The grievance of the petitioner is that inspite of there being an order passed on 20/12/2017 in W.P. No.596 of 2017, representation filed by the petitioner has not been decided as yet.

He submits that the fresh representation in terms of the said order dated 20/12/2017 has already been filed but the same has not been considered and decided.

2. Having gone through the order passed by this Court on 20/12/2017, it is revealed that the respondent authority was expected to dispose of the proceedings within a reasonable time. However, the representation/proceedings are still pending.

3. In the circumstances, taking into consideration the

submissions made by the learned counsel for the parties, we feel that this petition deserves to be disposed of by fixing the time limit for considering and taking appropriate decision in the matter.

4. As a result, we dispose of this petition by directing the respondent authority to consider and decide petitioner's representation in accordance with law within a period of three months from today.

5. Needless to say that the orders which have already been withdrawn as recorded in the order dated 20/12/2017, shall not be the basis for passing the fresh order. It is directed that the fresh order shall be passed by the respondent on its own merits taking into consideration all the contentions as raised by the petitioner in his representation.

6. With the aforesaid directions, petition is disposed of.

C. V. BHADANG, J.

SHANTANU S. KEMKAR, J.

hvn