

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 89 OF 2016

VIJAY PAWAR.

... Petitioner

Versus

**THE STATE OF GOA, THR. PUBLIC
PROSECUTOR AND ANR.**

... Respondents

Shri Nitin Sardessai, Senior Advocate with Shri Neelesh
Takkekar, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Shri S.R. Rivankar, Public Prosecutor for the Respondents.

Coram:- C. V. BHADANG, J.

Date:- 5th February 2018

P.C:

Heard for some time.

2. Shri Rivankar, the learned Public Prosecutor for the respondents, on instructions, states that the respondent no. 2 shall withdraw the complaint filed before the learned Magistrate, as the respondent no. 2 intends to file a complaint afresh, by joining the Company as a party. The learned Public Prosecutor has produced a copy of letter 02.02.2018, received from the respondent no. 2, which is taken on record and marked 'X' for identification.

3. In view of this statement, nothing survives in the petition, which is accordingly disposed of. It is made clear that this Court

has not expressed any opinion on the maintainability or otherwise of the complaint, if so filed. Rival contentions of the parties are left open.

C. V. BHADANG, J.

EV