

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

APPEAL UNDER ARBITRATION ACT NO. 6 OF 2016

**MUKTAR MINERALS PRIVATE LTD., REP.
BY ITS REPRESENTATIVE PRASHANT
DAMU NAYAK.**

... Appellant

Versus

**RUDHRA MINERALS PVT. LTD.
(FORMERLY KNOWN AS RUDHRA ENERGY
PVT. LTD.).**

... Respondent

Ms. Princy Ponnann with Ms. D. Arolkar, Advocates for the
Appellant.

Coram:- C. V. BHADANG, J.

Date:- 3rd October 2018

P.C.

Ms. Ponnann, the learned Counsel for the appellant, on instructions, seeks leave to withdraw the appeal, in view of the fact that the seat of the arbitration was at Singapore. The learned Counsel for the appellant reserves right to take all the objections to the enforcement of the award, as set out in Section 48 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996.

2. In that view of the matter, the appeal is dismissed as withdrawn, with no order as to costs. Needless to mention that, the appellant would be entitled to take all such objections to the enforcement of the award, as are available and permissible in

law.

C. V. BHADANG, J.

EV