

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

MISC.CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 385 OF 2018
IN
STAMP NUMBER MAIN NO. 892 OF 2018

SHRIRAM GENERAL INSURANCE CO.
LTD., THR. THE LEGAL MANAGER,
TUSHAR BENDALE., ... Applicant

Versus

NARAYAN KUIRO GAONKAR
AND 3 ORS., ...Respondents

Ms. G. Borkar, Advocate for the Applicants.
Shri Sanman R. Keny, Advocate for the Respondent Nos.1 and
2.

Coram:- NUTAN D. SARDESSAI, J.
Date:- 10th August 2018

P.C.

Reply filed to the application for the condonation of
delay. None again for the respondent nos.3 and 4.

2. Learned Counsel appearing for the applicants submits
that considerable time was spent in pursuing the file with their
Head Office in Jaipur, Rajasthan and besides that time was
also consumed in pursuing the settlement with the respondent
nos.1 and 2. In view thereof, the delay being sufficiently
accounted, be condoned and accordingly appeal be registered.

3. Learned Counsel appearing for the respondent nos.1 and

2 opposed the application on the ground that the delay had not been substantially explained and there was no explanation given for the file being in the Head Office for more than a month.

4. Nonetheless, considering the grounds urged in the application and also the objections raised on behalf of the contesting respondent nos.1 and 2, i find it appropriate in the circumstances to condone the delay. In view thereof, the application is allowed subject to the payment of costs of ₹2,000/- in respect of the respondent nos.1 and 2. Registry to register the appeal.

NUTAN D. SARDESSAI J.

arp/*