

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA
APPLICATION FOR APPOINTMENT OF ARBITRATOR
NO. 5 OF 2018

Dr. Shyam Murti Gupta & Anr. ... Applicants

Versus

Keiva Construction,
Through its Proprietor Eli Furtado Respondent

Mr. Terence Anthony Vaz, Advocate for the Applicants.

Mr. Premanand Anand Kholkar, Advocate for the Respondent.

Coram : N.M. Jamdar, J.

Date : 29 June 2018.

P.C.:

Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

2. Considering the dispute involved, the learned counsel for the parties had taken time to find out whether instead of pursuing the matter further, it could be resolved.

3. The learned counsel for the parties state that the parties

have resolved their dispute and they have arrived at amicable settlement and it is not necessary to pursue this application for appointment of Arbitrator.

4. On behalf of the Applicants, Applicant No.1 has signed the content terms. It is stated that the Applicant No.1 is the power of attorney for Applicant No.2, who is his wife. The learned counsel for the Applicants undertakes to place a copy of the power of attorney on record within a period of one week. Undertaking is accepted.

5. It is informed that the parties are present in the Court.

6. The consent terms are accordingly taken on record and marked 'X'.

7. The application for appointment of arbitrator is accordingly disposed of.

N.M. Jamdar, J.