

mukund

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

Writ Petition No.321/2018

S.M.Sajeev

... Petitioner.

Versus

The Inspector, Competent Officer,
Panaji and Anr.

.... Respondents

Mr. Kapil D. Kerkar, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr.D.Lawande, AG with Amir Jamadar, Additional Public
Prosecutor for the Respondents-State.

***Coram : N.M. Jamdar &
Prithviraj K. Chavan, JJ.***

Date : 12 March 2018.

P.C.:

Heard Mr. Kerkar, learned counsel for the Petitioner and Mr.
Lawande, learned Advocate General for the Respondents-State.

2. It appears that the application made by the Petitioner for
release of his vehicle, on 27.12.2017 is pending. It appears from the
averments made in the petition that the vehicle was seized under Rule
62 of the Goa Minor Mineral Concession Rules, 1960. The said
Rule provides for an application in case the owner seeks release
thereof.

3. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, we are of the opinion that the Competent Officer should decide the application within a reasonable time.

4. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of by directing the Respondent no.1 to decide the application made by the Petitioner within a period of 10 weeks from today, on its own merits.

5. The writ petition is disposed of.

Prithviraj K. Chavan, J.

N.M. Jamdar, J.