

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

WRIT PETITION NO.166 OF 2012

Gomantak Education Society & Ors. ... Petitioners

Versus

The Director of Education & Ors. Respondents

Mr. D. J. Pangam and Mr. P. Sawant, Advocates for the Petitioners.

Ms. S. Linhares, Additional Government Advocate for the Respondent Nos.1, 2 and 3.

Ms. G. Pai, Advocate for the Respondent No.4.

***Coram : N.M. Jamdar &
Prithviraj K. Chavan, JJ.***

Date : 16 October 2018.

P.C. :

On 18 September 2018, the following order was passed.

“The Petitioners- Educational Institution has challenged the order passed by the Authorised Officer promoting the Respondent No.4 as Headmaster by order dated 1 June 2011. The main contention raised in this petition is that such order could not have been passed without consulting the Petitioners. While issuing Rule, no interim relief was granted.

2. From the record, it appears that the age of the Respondent No.4 in the year 2011 itself was 58 years. Therefore, the Respondent No.4 must have superannuated by now. The petition could have disposed of infructuous today however, since the learned counsel for the Petitioners is not present, stand over to 16 October 2018.

3. To be listed on the weekly board under the caption "For Directions".

2. The learned counsel appears for the Petitioners and states that the Petitioners have not contacted him. He also states that the Respondent No.4 might have superannuated by now. In view of this position, it appears that the Petitioners are not interested in prosecuting the petition. The petition is dismissed for non prosecution.

Prithviraj K. Chavan, J.

N.M. Jamdar, J.