

Santosh

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA
CRIMINAL MISC. APPLICATION NO.6/2018
IN
CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 185 OF 2017

Vandana Shirodkar. Applicant.

V/s.

The State of Goa, through Police
Inspector Panaji and another. Respondents.

Mr. Vibhav Amonkar, Advocate for the Applicant.

Mr. Pravin Faldessai, Additional Public Prosecutor for the
Respondents.

Mr. Nigel Costa Frias with Ms. M. Correia, Advocate for the original
Petitioner.

Coram : N.M. Jamdar &
Prithviraj K. Chavan, JJ.

Date : 6 June 2018.

P.C.:

This application for intervention has been filed by the Applicant who is alleged as an accused in respect of the crime which is subject matter of the writ petition instituted by the Petitioner-Complainant.

2. The learned Counsel for the Applicant states that in view of certain directions as regards the flat in question, it is necessary to

hear the Applicant. The learned Counsel for the original Petitioner states that the Petitioner is not pressing the prayer clause (b) of the Petition which is in respect of the custody of the flat in question. The other prayers are regarding transfer of the investigation and certain disciplinary actions.

3. In view of the categorical statement made by the learned Counsel for the original Petitioner, it is not necessary to entertain the Criminal Misc. Application. The Criminal Misc. Application is accordingly disposed of.

Prithviraj K. Chavan, J.

N.M. Jamdar, J.