

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

966 WRIT PETITION NO.15336 OF 2017

GAJANAN MUNJAJI SHINDE

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS ..RESPONDENTS

...

Mr. Sudhir K. Chavan, Advocate for the Petitioner.
Mr. S. B. Yavalkar, AGP for Respondents-State.
Mr. Manish N. Navandar, Advocate for Respondent No.4.
Ms. Sheetal V. Salunke, Advocate for Respondent No.5.

...

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
SUNIL K. KOTWAL, JJ.**

DATED : 26th JULY, 2018.

PER COURT:-

1. Mr. Chavan, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the impugned order is passed against documentary evidence on record. The family of the petitioner and the one who has been given benefit of P.A.P. are different.

2. Mr. Navandar, learned counsel for respondent-University submits that considering the entire record, the order is passed.

3. We have considered the submissions. There is no independent evidence to conclude that the reasons given in the impugned order is erroneous.

In absence of any documents on record, it would be unsafe to rely on contentions of the petitioner.

4. In view of that, writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

(SUNIL K. KOTWAL)
JUDGE

(S. V. GANGAPURWALA)
JUDGE

Devendra/July-18