

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 15291 OF 2017

Nagnath Sidramappa Bhojrange
and another

..Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. **Respondents**

Shri S. R. Choukidar, Advocate for the
Petitioners.

Shri N. T. Bhagat, A.G.P. for Respondent Nos. 1 to
4.

Shri V. V. Bhavthankar, Advocate for Respondent
Nos. 5 to 8.

CORAM: **S. V. GANGAPURWALA &**
 SUNIL K. KOTWAL, JJ.

DATE: **30th July, 2018**

PER COURT:

1. We have heard Mr. Choukidar, learned counsel
for the petitioners, Mr. Bhagat, learned A.G.P.
for respondent nos. 1 to 4 and Mr. Bhavthankar
learned counsel for respondent nos. 5 to 8.

2. The Education Officer has filed affidavit.
Paragraph no. 8 of the affidavit reads thus -

“....

8. I say and submit that, if the Respondent
no. 5 submit the proposal of Petitioner's son
as junior clerk's transfer, the office of the
Respondent no. 4 will be in a position to

grant retrospective approval and the payment and salary will be paid according to the rules."

3. Mr. Bhavthankar, learned counsel appears for respondent nos. 5 to 8 and submits that the proposal would be submitted within two weeks.

4. Respondent no. 5 shall submit the salary bills due and payable to the deceased son of the petitioners to the Education Officer and the Education Officer shall process the same as may be admissible under the rules and also the proposal with regard to pension and retiral benefits for the post of Junior Clerk. Upon receipt of the proposal the Education Officer shall process the same and take decision within eight (8) weeks from the date of receipt of the proposal.

5. Writ Petition accordingly stands disposed of.
No costs.

[SUNIL K. KOTWAL, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

marathe