

WRIT PETITION NO.878 OF 2018

Petitioners

Versus

Respondents

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO.898 OF 2018

Petitioner

versus

Respondents

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO.922 OF 2018

Petitioners

versus

Respondents

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO.930 of 2018

Petitioners

versus

Respondents

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO.933 of 2018

Petitioners

versus

The National Highway Authority of India
and others

Respondents

Mrs. Nima R. Suryawanshi advocate for the petitioners
Mr. S.S. Dande, AGP for Respondent State
Mr. D.S. Manorkar advocate for respondent No.1 to 3.

CORAM : R.M. BORDE & K.K. SONAWANE, JJ

(Date: March 5th, 2018)

PER COURT :-

1 Learned counsel appearing for respondents, on instructions, states that the respondent has approached the District Court under section 34 of the Arbitration & Conciliation Act, 1996. It is further contended that in view of presentation of the application under section 34 of the Arbitration & Conciliation Act, 1996, respondent is not required to deposit the amount, as filing of the application would operate as stay to the execution of the Award.

2 At the same time, the respondent is bound to comply with the provisions of Section 3 H(6) of the National Highway Act, 1956 in respect of deposit of the amount of compensation. If the amount is not deposited, the same shall be deposited by the respondents before the competent authority, as expeditiously as possible and preferably within a period of eight weeks from

today.

3 The petitioners shall have the liberty to make a request as regards withdrawal of the amount before the competent authority/District Judge, by presenting appropriate proceedings.

4 In view of above, writ petitions are disposed of.

5 No costs.

(K.K. SONAWANE, J)

(R.M. BORDE, J)

vbd