

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 15279 OF 2017

M. J. Online Private Ltd., Beed,
Through its Director,
Pradeep Balbhim Jogdand

.. **Petitioner**

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

.. **Respondents**

Shri Vilas P. Savant, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Shri P. S. Patil, Addl. G. P. for Respondent No. 1.

Shri Shivaji K. Naikwade, Advocate for Respondent No. 2.

Shri Amol Chalak, Advocate h/f Shri Pratik A. Bhosle, Advocate
for Respondent No. 3.

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
A. M. DHAVAL, JJ.**

DATE : 25th April, 2018

PER COURT :

1. Learned counsel for respondent no. 2, on instructions, submits that the e-tender process is being re-called by respondent no. 2.

2. In view of the said statement, nothing remains for adjudication in the present writ petition.

3. Mr. Chalak, learned counsel for respondent no. 3 submits that, even work order is issued to respondent no. 3 and he has commenced the work and 30% work is also completed.

4. If respondent no. 3 is aggrieved by any acts of omission or commission on the part of respondent no. 2, then respondent no. 3 is entitled to agitate the same in appropriate proceedings.

5. Writ Petition, as such, is disposed of. No costs.

[A. M. DHAVAL, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

marathe