

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 5 OF 2018

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 1276 OF 2017

Raver Shikshan Sanvardhak Sangh, Raver
Through the Chairman and another

.. **Petitioners**

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

.. **Respondents**

WITH

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 10 OF 2018

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 1274 OF 2017

Raver Shikshan Sanvardhak Sangh, Raver
Through the Chairman and another

.. **Petitioners**

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

.. **Respondents**

WITH

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 11 OF 2018

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 1277 OF 2017

Raver Shikshan Sanvardhak Sangh, Raver
Through the Chairman and another

.. **Petitioners**

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

.. **Respondents**

WITH

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 12 OF 2018

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 1275 OF 2017

Raver Shikshan Sanvardhak Sangh, Raver
Through the Chairman and another

.. **Petitioners**

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

.. **Respondents**

Shri Madhav M. Bhokarikar, Advocate for the Petitioners.

Shri A. S. Shinde, A.G.P. for Respondent / State.

Respondent No. 2 served.

CORAM : **S. V. GANGAPURWALA &**
A. M. DHAVALA, JJ.

DATE : **6th March, 2018**

PER COURT :

1. Learned A.G.P. submits that the proposals are decided.
2. Mr. Bhokarikar, learned advocate for the petitioner submits that those are belatedly decided and contrary to law.
3. As the proposals are decided, though belatedly, contempt stands purged.
4. The petitioner is at liberty to assail the orders as may be permissible in law.
5. The contempt petitions stand disposed of. No costs.

[A. M. DHAVALA, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]