

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 15092 OF 2017

Rehana Begum Syed Shabir Ali .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 15093 OF 2017

Kalabai Tatyrao Suryawanshi .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 15095 OF 2017

Rajmati Gangadharrao Gawande .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 15096 OF 2017

Malanbai Shivajirao Chavan .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 15097 OF 2017

Akhila Begum Shaikh Salim .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 15098 OF 2017**

Munira Begum Shaikh Budhan .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 15099 OF 2017**

Lilabai Namdeo Waster .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Shri Vishnu L. Dhoble, Advocate for the Petitioner in all matters.
Smt. Vaishali N. Patil, A.G.P. for Respondent Nos. 1 to 3 in all matters.

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA AND
ARUN M. DHAVALA, JJ.**

DATE : 04TH JANUARY, 2018.

FINAL ORDER :

. Issue notice to respondents. The learned Assistant Government Pleader accepts notice for respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

2. Mr. Dhoble, the learned advocate for petitioners submits that, time and again proposals are submitted by the Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Parbhani for regularizing the services of the employees appointed on daily wages. The names of the petitioners find place in the list forwarded by the Commissioner to the State. However, no decision has been taken

on it as yet.

3. It appears that, the Commissioner, Municipal Corporation Parbhani has forwarded the proposals for regularization to the respondent No. 3. The proposals will have to be decided by the respondent No. 1.

4. In case proposals are not forwarded to the respondent No. 1 by the respondent No. 3, then the respondent No. 3 shall forward said proposals through proper channel to the respondent No. 1 within a period of four (04) months from today. On receipt of the proposals, the respondent No. 1 shall take decision on the proposals of the Municipal Corporation seeking regularization of the services of the persons appointed on daily wages (Exhibit - D dated 20.05.2011) on its own merits, in accordance with law, expeditiously and preferably within a period of nine (09) months from the date of receipt of proposals. The writ petitions accordingly are disposed of. No costs.

[ARUN M. DHAVAL, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]