

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

CONT. PETITION NO. 15 OF 2017  
IN WP/9724/2016 WITH CA/2452/2017 IN CP/15/2017

PATHAN RIZWAN KHAN JABBAR KHAN  
VERSUS  
ASHA BABURAO GARUD

Mr.Syed Yasin Tauseef, Advocate h/f Mr.R.M.Shaikh,  
Advocate for the petitioner  
Mr.B.A.Shinde, Advocate for the respondent

**CORAM : S.S. SHINDE &  
S.M.GAVHANE, JJ.**  
**DATED : 25.01.2018**

**P.C. :-**

. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel appearing for the respondent.

2. We have perused the reply filed by the Zilla Parishad, Parbhani. The statement is made in the reply that the Management did not forward the proposal for approval of services of the petitioner. Learned counsel appearing for the Management also submits that said proposal is not forwarded. Learned counsel for the petitioner asserts that as a matter of fact proposal was forwarded to the Zilla Parishad, Parbhani. Admittedly, the Management was not heard before passing the order in R.A.80/2017 in Writ Petition No.9724/2016 [Pathan Rizwan

Khan Jabbar Khan Vs The Education Officer and others]. In that view of the matter there cannot be disobedience of the order passed by either Zilla Parishad or by the Management.

3. For the reasons aforesaid the contempt petition stands disposed of.

4. Review Application No.80/2017 be placed for hearing on 31.01.2018.

5. In view of the disposal of the contempt petition, civil application No.2452/2017 does not survive and the same stands disposed of.

**[S.M.GAVHANE,J.]**

**[S.S. SHINDE,J.]**