

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**REVIEW APPLICATION (CIVIL) NO.240 OF 2018  
IN  
WRIT PETITION NO. 11592 OF 2018**

**KALLINATH SHIVYOGI DHANGE  
VERSUS  
RAJENDRA ALIAS APARAO MDHUKARRAO VEDPATHAK AND  
OTHERS**

...  
Advocate for the Applicant : Shri A. D. Shinde

...

**CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.**

**DATED : 05<sup>th</sup> DECEMBER, 2018.**

...

***PER COURT :***

1. The review applicant points out that this Court (Coram : V. L. Achliya, J.) had passed an order on 24/04/2018 in Writ Petition No. 3972/2018, by which, RCS No. 69/2017 was expedited and was to be decided within six months. This order was not brought to the notice of this Court (Coram : Myself) when this Court passed an order on 19/10/2018 in Writ Petition No. 11592/2018. It is pointed out that in paragraph 17, this Court refused to expedite the hearing in the same RCS No. 69/2017 because it was lodged in the year 2017, as the earlier order was not pointed out.

2. In view of the above, I find that this review application actually needs to be treated as a motion for 'speaking to the minutes' of the order dated 19/10/2018. It is, therefore, treated as such.

3. In view of the above, paragraph 17 of the order dated 19/10/2018 would stand corrected and would read as under :

*" Since the suit is lodged in 2017 and since this Court had earlier expedited the hearing of the suit by order dated 24/04/2018, the hearing of the suit shall stand expedited as per the earlier order passed by this Court".*

4. The motion is, accordingly, disposed off.

**(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)**

shp/-