

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 13665 OF 2017
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 3753 OF 2004**

VIJAY PANDITRAO KULKARNI

VERSUS

**THE STATE BANK OF Hyderabad
THR. ITS MANAGING DIRECTOR & ORS.**

.....

Mr. Pradeep Shahane, Advocate for Applicant.

Mr. S.R.Deshpande, Advocate for R – 1 to 5.

.....

**CORAM : SUNIL P. DESHMUKH &
P.R.BORA, JJ.**

DATE : 29th JANUARY, 2018

.....

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard learned counsel for the applicant and learned counsel for respondent Nos. 1 to 5.

2. The parties are ad-idem that during the pendency of Writ Petition, the petitioner had retired on superannuation and further that respondent No. 1 – Bank has been taken over by respondent No. 6. The applicant wants to carry out amendment

pursuant to the aforesaid developments. Learned counsel Mr. Deshpande purports to contend about that amendment had already been made and yet this application has been moved. However, looking to that there is no dispute about the developments having taken place, it would be expedient to grant the application.

3. Civil Application accordingly is granted in terms of prayer clauses 'A' and 'B'. Writ Petition to proceed with accordingly.

[P.R.BORA]
JUDGE

[SUNIL P. DESHMUKH]
JUDGE