

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

7 WRIT PETITION NO. 2702 OF 2017
WITH
WP/2703/2017 WITH WP/2704/2017 WITH WP/2705/2017

DNYANOBA MARUTI MANE
VERSUS
THE UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Petitioners : Mr. M.D.Narwadkar
AGP for Respondents/State : Mr.S.G.Karlekar
Advocate for Res. Nos. 7 and 8 : Mr. P.D.Suryawanshi
Adv. For resp. no.4 : Mr. Patil Bankar Deelip N.

...

CORAM : S.V.GANGAPURWALA
AND
SUNIL K.KOTWAL, JJ.

DATE : JULY 5, 2018

O R D E R :

Mr. Narwadkar, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners at present would restrict their prayer to the extent seeking direction to the State Government to decide their representations for regularization.

2. The State Project Director, Maharashtra Prathamik Shikshan Parishad has also represented the Government on 10.3.2014 to consider the case of the petitioners about

regularization. Even the Maharashtra Rajya Sarv Shiksha Abhiyan Karar Karmachari Kruti Samiti has made representation to the Government on 3.3.2014 to regularize them in services. According to the petitioners, some of the petitioners are in employment of Sarv Shiksha Abhiyan from 2005 to 2012.

3. Learned AGP submits that in case such representations are there, the Government would take decision upon its own merits and in accordance with law and policy.

4. The Respondents/State shall decide the representations of the petitioners seeking regularization of the petitioners in service, expeditiously, considering the fact that same is pending since the year 2014, on its own merits, in accordance with law and policy, expeditiously, preferably within eight months from today. We hope and trust that till the representations are decided by the Government, the respondents/State would not take adverse action against the petitioners, only on the ground that they are contractual employees. If the

petitioners/employees are guilty of misconduct or dereliction of duty, then the employer can take action as it deems fit.

5. Writ Petitions are accordingly disposed of. Needless to state, all contentions of the respective parties are kept open. No costs.

[SUNIL K.KOTWAL, J.] [S.V.GANGAPURWALA, J.]

dbm