

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 14477 OF 2017

Vasant Totaram Koli	..	Petitioner
Versus		
The State of Maharashtra and others	..	Respondents

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 14479 OF 2017**

Kishor Pandharinath Patil	..	Petitioner
Versus		
The State of Maharashtra and others	..	Respondents

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 14480 OF 2017**

Mira Motiram Pawar	..	Petitioner
Versus		
The State of Maharashtra and others	..	Respondents

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 14481 OF 2017**

Ramrao Madhavrao Patil	..	Petitioner
Versus		
The State of Maharashtra and others	..	Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 14482 OF 2017

Lata Shantaram More	..	Petitioner
Versus		
The State of Maharashtra and others	..	Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 14483 OF 2017

Asha Aabaji Nerkar	..	Petitioner
Versus		
The State of Maharashtra and others	..	Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 14484 OF 2017

Surekha Himmatrao Deore	..	Petitioner
Versus		
The State of Maharashtra and others	..	Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 14485 OF 2017

Lalita Raghunath Jadhav	..	Petitioner
Versus		
The State of Maharashtra and others	..	Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 14486 OF 2017

Dada Punjaram Thakur	..	Petitioner
Versus		
The State of Maharashtra and others	..	Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 14487 OF 2017

Vijaya Laxmanrao Khayambayate	..	Petitioner
Versus		
The State of Maharashtra and others	..	Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 14488 OF 2017

Sahebrao Shanabhau Girase	..	Petitioner
Versus		
The State of Maharashtra and others	..	Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 14489 OF 2017

Ravindra Naval Deore	..	Petitioner
Versus		
The State of Maharashtra and others	..	Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 14490 OF 2017

Bapu Gulabsingh Pardhi	..	Petitioner
Versus		
The State of Maharashtra and others	..	Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 14491 OF 2017

Bhaskar Daga Patil	..	Petitioner
Versus		
The State of Maharashtra and others	..	Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 14492 OF 2017

Prabhakar Narayan Chachare	..	Petitioner
Versus		
The State of Maharashtra and others	..	Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 14493 OF 2017

Gokul Tryamak Patil	..	Petitioner
Versus		
The State of Maharashtra and others	..	Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 14494 OF 2017

Madhukar Pitambar Mali	..	Petitioner
Versus		
The State of Maharashtra and others	..	Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 14495 OF 2017

Umrao Sahebrao Borse	..	Petitioner
Versus		
The State of Maharashtra and others	..	Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 14496 OF 2017

Pitambar Mayaram Patil	..	Petitioner
Versus		
The State of Maharashtra and others	..	Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 14497 OF 2017

Kishor Chunilal Gadilohar	..	Petitioner
Versus		
The State of Maharashtra and others	..	Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 14498 OF 2017

Devidas Daulat Mahale	..	Petitioner
Versus		
The State of Maharashtra and others	..	Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 14499 OF 2017

Chitramadhu Madhukar Badhane

.. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

.. Respondents

Shri. P. B. Patil, Advocate for Petitioner.

Shri. A. S. Shinde, A.G.P. for Respondent/State.

Shri N.N.Desale, advocate for Respondent Nos.4 and 5.

**CORAM : S.V. GANGAPURWALA AND
A. M. DHAVAL, JJ.****DATED : 3rd May, 2018****PER COURT:**

. The grievance of the petitioner is that though the petitioners are awardees of “Adarsh Shikshak Puraskar”, additional one increment though entitled to is not been given. No cognizance is also taken by the respondents of the same. The respondents be directed to give benefit of one advance increment on the basis of the order of the Chief Executive Officer.

2. Mr. Desale, the learned advocate for the respondents submits that in case the petitioners approach the C.E.O. and file applications, the same would be scrutinized and the decision would be taken accordingly.

3. The petitioners may apply to the Respondent Nos. 4 and 5. On

receiving the applications, the Respondent Nos. 4 and 5 / competent authority shall scrutinize the said applications after considering the order of C.E.O. and Circular dated 12.12.2000 and all other relevant Circulars/GR and thereafter take decision upon the applications within a period of four (04) months from the date of receipt of applications.

4. In case, after the decision the petitioners are found entitled to the advance increment as claimed by them then benefit shall be accorded to the petitioners in tune with the decision expeditiously and preferably within six (06) months.

5. The writ petitions are disposed of. No costs.

[A. M. DHAVAL, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

ass/wp 14477.17