

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO. 5 OF 2014

Dinesh s/o Suresh Deore

Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & others

Respondents

Mr.H.D.Deshmukh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.S.B.Deshpande, Assistant Solicitor General for Respondent No.1.

Mr.S.D.Kulkarni, Advocate for Respondent No.2.

Mrs.Anjali Dube Bajpai, Advocate for Respondent No.3.

Mr.Alok Sharma, Advocate for Respondent No.4.

**CORAM : NARESH H. PATIL, C.J. &
N.M. JAMDAR, J.**

DATE : 14th December, 2018

PER COURT:

1 The petitioner challenges the composite advertisement issued by the Respondent – Oil Companies in the year 2013.

2 We have perused the earlier orders passed by this Court in the matter.

3 Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that for one location in Pune district, names of two different locations were shown in the vernacular and English advertisement, that is “Lonand” and “Lonikand” in respect of which, objections were raised.

4 Learned Counsel for the Oil Companies submit that the process is already initiated and in some cases, letters of intent were also issued.

5 Nothing further survives in this petition. Writ Petition stands disposed of. We hope that the Respondent-Oil Companies will be more careful in future.

N.M.JAMDAR, J.

CHIEF JUSTICE

adb/