

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO.12606 OF 2018

HEMANTKUMAR SUDHAKAR PATIL
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Talhar Ajay G.
AGP for Respondents 1 to 5: Mrs. V.N. Patil-Jadhav
Advocate for Respondent No.6 : Mr. V.B. patil
.....

CORAM : N. M. JAMDAR, J.
DATED : 10 DECEMBER 2018

PER COURT:-

1. The learned counsel for the respondent No.6, by placing reliance on the decision of the Apex Court in the case of *Gurudassing Nawoosingh Panjwani vs. State of Maharashtra and others, reported in (2016) 2 SCC 213*, states that the petitioner has remedy of second revision. The learned counsel for the petitioner, in view of this submission, states that he will approach the concerned authority and seeks leave to withdraw this petition.
2. Keeping all contentions of the parties on merits open, the writ petition is disposed of as withdrawn.
3. If the petitioner approaches the concerned authority within a

period of two weeks from today, then the time spent in prosecuting this petition from the date of its filing, be considered by the concerned authority favourably, in case the question of delay arises.

4. The parties to act on authenticated copy of this order.

(N. M. JAMDAR, J.)

rlj/