

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

3 WRIT PETITION NO. 10081 OF 2013

SANJAY SHANKARRAO SHINGADE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

...
Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Choukidar Sanjay R.
AGP for Respondent no.1 : Mrs. D.S.Jape
Advocate for Respondent no.2 : Mr. Jadhav N.L.

.....

**CORAM : T.V.NALAWADE
AND
K.L.WADANE, JJ.**

DATED : 9th April, 2018

O R D E R :

Heard both sides.

2. In view of oral directions by this Court in the past, learned counsel for Zilla Parishad submitted that though the procedure is of giving on line application at present, in the present case, if the petitioner gives application in category no.4 and information in that form with regard to couple convenience ground in hard copy, the application will be accepted and if he is otherwise entitled to get the benefit, application will be considered and decided.

3. In view of the statement, the proceeding is disposed of with aforesaid liberty.

(K.L.WADANE, J.)

(T.V.NALAWADE, J.)

dbm