

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

51 WRIT PETITION NO. 1246 OF 2016

FAHIM SULTANA KAZI KHUSRO
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

WITH
52 WRIT PETITION NO. 1247 OF 2016

KHAJA ATIKHUJJAMA FARUQUI
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

WITH
53 WRIT PETITION NO. 1248 OF 2016

SAYYAD ABDUL IRFAN MOHAMMAD RASHID
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

WITH
54 WRIT PETITION NO. 1250 OF 2016

KAZI MUJIBUR RAHEMAN ABDUL RAHEMAN
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for the Petitioners : Shri Tungar Hrishikesh V.
AGP for Respondents 1 to 5/ State : Shri S.K.Tambe and Shri S.R.Yadav
Lonikar.

Advocate for Respondent 6 : Shri Madhav P. Gude.

...

CORAM: RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.

DATE :- 12th March, 2018

Per Court:

1 I have heard the learned Advocates for the Petitioners and
Respondent No.6 along with the learned AGP on behalf of the State.

2 It is pointed out by the learned Advocate for Respondent No.6
and the learned AGP that an enquiry under Section 88 of the Maharashtra
Cooperative Societies Act, 1960, which has been challenged in these
petitions, has already been concluded and these petitions are rendered
infructuous.

3 The learned Advocate for the Petitioners submits that the
Petitioners would prefer to challenge the outcome of the enquiry by
resorting to a remedy as may be permissible in law and all contentions be
kept open.

4 Considering the above, these Writ Petitions are disposed of as
being infructuous with liberty to the Petitioners to avail of a remedy as
may be permissible in law. All contentions of all the litigating sides are
kept open.