

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

938 WRIT PETITION NO. 13782 OF 2017

GOVIND PUNAJI GAIKWAD THROUGH HIS GPA HOLDER JALINDAR
GOVIND GAIKWAD AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
KANTABAI ASARAM WAGH

...

Adv. for Petitioners : Mrs. Dhumal S.A. (Tambat) and Mr. M G Deokate
Advocate for Respondents : Mr. D.R. Jayabhar

WRIT PETITION NO. 13783 OF 2017

GOVIND PUNAJI GAIKWAD THROUGH HIS GPA HOLDER
JALINDAR GOVIND GAIKWAD AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
SANDEEP ASARAM WAGH

...

Adv. for Petitioners : Mrs. Dhumal S.A. (Tambat) and Mr. M G Deokate
Advocate for Respondents : Mr. D.R. Jayabhar

.....

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 13784 OF 2017

GOVIND PUNAJI GAIKWAD THROUGH HIS GPA HOLDER JALINDAR
GOVIND GAIKWAD AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
KERU SAKHARAM WAGH

...

Adv. for Petitioners : Mrs. Dhumal S.A. (Tambat) and Mr. M G Deokate
Advocate for Respondents : Mr. D.R. Jayabhar

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 13785 OF 2017

GOVIND PUNAJI GAIKWAD THROUGH HIS GPA HOLDER JALINDAR
GOVIND GAIKWAD AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
KERU SAKHARAM WAGH

...

Adv. for Petitioners : Mrs. Dhumal S.A. (Tambat) and Mr. M G Deokate
Advocate for Respondents : Mr. D.R. Jayabhar

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 13786 OF 2017

GOVIND PUNAJI GAIKWAD THROUGH HIS GPA HOLDER JALINDAR
GOVIND GAIKWAD AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
KANTABAI ASARAM WAGH

...
Adv. for Petitioners : Mrs. Dhumal S.A. (Tambat) and Mr. M G Deokate
Advocate for Respondents : Mr. D.R. Jayabhar

....

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 13787 OF 2017

GOVIND PUNAJI GAIKWAD THROUGH HIS GPA HOLDER JALINDAR
GOVIND GAIKWAD AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
SANDEEP ASARAM WAGH

...
Adv. for Petitioners : Mrs. Dhumal S.A. (Tambat) and Mr. M G Deokate

....

CORAM : V. K. JADHAV, J.
DATED : 13th MARCH, 2018

PER COURT:-

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners in all these petitions submits that the petitioners-original defendants have also filed counter claim in the suits instituted by the respondents. These writ petitions have been preferred against the order passed below Exh.5 by the trial court in three different suits. Learned counsel, on instructions, submits that the parties have closed their evidence and the trial court has posted the suits for final hearing.

2. In view of above, at this stage, no purpose would be served in deciding the writ petitions wherein the order passed below Exh.5 are under challenge. Writ petitions are accordingly disposed of.

3. It is made clear that this Court has not touched to the merits of the case. All points are kept open. The trial court may dispose of the suits on its own merits on the basis of oral and documentary evidence adduced by the respective parties.

(V. K. JADHAV, J.)

rlj/