

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 9798 OF 2018

KUSUM CHANDRAKANT MHASKE AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
ADDITIONAL COMMISSIONER NASIK AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for Petitioners : Shri Thorat Chandrakant R.
AGP for Respondents: Shri Kutti P.N.

...
CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.
Dated: October 31, 2018

...
PER COURT :-

1 Heard.

2 Considering the law laid down by the Honourable Apex Court in the matter of **Gurudassing Nawoosing Panjwani vs. State of Maharashtra and others, 2016 (2) SCC 213**, the petitioners have a statutory remedy of filing a Second Revision under Section 257 of the Maharashtra Land Revenue Code.

3 Learned counsel for the petitioners submit that they would prefer the second revision within four weeks from today and the time spent in this Court may be considered as a ground for condonation of delay, if any.

4 In view of the above, this petition is disposed off with liberty to

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

2-WRIT PETITION NO. 9798 OF 2018

avail of the remedy. If the revision is preferred within four weeks from today, the time spent by the petitioners in this Court from 3.10.2017 till the filing of the revision, would be considered as a ground for condonation of delay.

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)

...