

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 13408 OF 2017

Vasantrao Govindrao Thube .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Shri Pradip R. Patil, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Shri S. G. Karlekar, A.G.P for Respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

Shri V. D. Sapkal, Advocate for Respondent Nos. 5 and 6.

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA AND
SUNIL K. KOTWAL, JJ.**

DATE : 16TH JULY, 2018.

FINAL ORDER :

. We have heard Mr. Patil, the learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Sapkal, the learned counsel for respondent Nos. 5 and 6. Upon hearing the parties we pass following order.

2. The petitioner claims benefit of leave encashment. The State is not liable to pay the amount of leave encashment and the responsibility is with the management.

3. The petitioner shall submit the record with him to the management. The management shall verify its record and shall thereafter consider the amount that the petitioner would be

entitled under the head of leave encashment. After verifying the amount payable to the petitioner, the respondent-management shall make payment to the petitioner within a period of three months, from the date the petitioner approaches the management. The writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

[SUNIL K. KOTWAL, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

bsb/July 18