

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO.5433 OF 2017

Sujata Haribhau Kamble .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and ors. .. Respondents

Mr N.P.Patil – Jamalpurkar, Advocate for petitioner
Mr A.S. Shinde, A.G.P. for respondents no.1 to 4
Mr Kalyan V. Patil, Advocate for respondent no.5

- WITH -

WRIT PETITION NO.13534 OF 2017

Ashok Suryabhan More .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and ors. .. Respondents

Mr N.P.Patil – Jamalpurkar, Advocate for petitioner
Mr A.S. Shinde, A.G.P. for respondents no.1 to 4
Mr V.D. Gunale, Advocate for respondent no.5

- WITH -

WRIT PETITION NO.13536 OF 2017

Shivraj Govindrao Bodke .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and ors. .. Respondents

Mr N.P.Patil – Jamalpurkar, Advocate for petitioner
Mr A.S. Shinde, A.G.P. for respondents no.1 to 4
Mr P.M. Kulkarni, Advocate for respondent no.5

- WITH -

WRIT PETITION NO.13537 OF 2017

Rabbani Miyasab Shaikh .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and ors. .. Respondents

Mr N.P.Patil – Jamalpurkar, Advocate for petitioner
Mr A.S. Shinde, A.G.P. for respondents no.1 to 4

- WITH -

WRIT PETITION NO.14140 OF 2017

Tirupati Champatrao Patil .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra .. Respondents

Mr N.P.Patil – Jamalpurkar, Advocate for petitioner
Mr A.S. Shinde, A.G.P. for respondents no.1 to 4

- WITH -

WRIT PETITION NO.14145 OF 2017

Amitkumar s/o Kishanrao Shinde
and ors. .. Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and ors. .. Respondents

Mr N.P.Patil – Jamalpurkar, Advocate for petitioner
Mr A.S. Shinde, A.G.P. for respondents no.1 to 4

**CORAM : S.V. GANGAPURWALA &
A.M. DHAVALA, JJ**

DATE : 24th April 2018

PER COURT

1. Mr Patil submits that subsequent to the filing of the Writ Petition, the Government has issued Government Resolution dated 13.3.2018 and has relaxed the condition of obtaining no objection certificate. Learned Counsel submits that all these petitioners are employees of higher secondary school. Their proposals seeking approval to the appointments are rejected on the ground that prior permission is not taken.

2. The Deputy Director of Education may reconsider the proposals of the petitioners seeking approval to their appointments. It shall consider all other aspects of the matter including the Government Resolution dated 13.3.2018. While considering the said proposals afresh, the impugned order would not be an impediment and the same shall be considered on its own merits considering all the Government Resolutions, expeditiously and preferably within three months.

3. Writ Petitions stand disposed of. No costs.

(A.M. DHAVAL, J.)

(S.V. GANGAPURWALA, J.)

vvr