

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

36 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 779 OF 2017
IN FAST/32538/2016 WITH CA/794/2017 IN FAST/32538/2016

TAMILNADU STATE TRANSPORT CORPORATION (MADURAI) LTD. THR
ITS GENERAL MANAGER (TAMILNADU STATE)

VERSUS

AJAY JAGANNATHRAO JOSHI AND ORS

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mrs.Reddy Ranjana D.
Advocate for Respondent No.1 : Mr.Mayure Pramod C.
Advocate for Respondent No.2 : Mr.S.S.Rathi

...

CORAM : M.S.SONAK, J.

DATE : 29.1.2018

PER COURT:-

1) Heard Mrs.Ranjana D.Reddy learned counsel for the applicant. She submits that service is complete on the respondents. She submits that the delay is sufficiently explained in the civil application. She submits that similar appeals have been admitted after condonation of delay, though the delay may not have been of the same magnitude.

2) In this case, the office of the applicant is based in Tamil Nadu State. The applicants has explained the circumstances in which the appeal could not be filed within the prescribed period of limitation. There is no reply filed on behalf of the respondents. The explanation does not indicate any malafides. In connected matter, delay has been condoned and appeal has been admitted.

3) Taking into consideration all the aforesaid circumstances, delay is condoned.

4) The civil application is disposed of.

[M.S.SONAK, J.]